

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mee

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
05/03/2005	Christian Gossmann	6515/PCT	2245
590 01/12/2006		EXAM	INER
•	· NGUYEN,	CHAU N	
P.O. BOX 19290 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-0290			PAPER NUMBER
•		2831	
	05/03/2005 590 01/12/2006 BREINER, L.L.C.	05/03/2005 Christian Gossmann 590 01/12/2006 BREINER, L.L.C.	05/03/2005 Christian Gossmann 6515/PCT 590 01/12/2006 EXAM BREINER, L.L.C. • NGUYEN, 90 A, VA 22320-0290 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		· C
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,588	GOSSMANN, CHRISTIAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chau N. Nguyen	2831
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. If period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	۱	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 6,8-11 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objecto to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/0</u> 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the features of the shank being provided with screw threading which has a pitch smaller than the pitch of the threading provided on an exterior surface of the coating as recited in claim 6 and the multiple helix being a double helix as recited in claims 9 and 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may

Art Unit: 2831

sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "(FIGS. 1 and 2)" in the abstract should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 6, last line, "said threading" is unclear since there are threading in the shank and threading of the coating. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/533,588

Art Unit: 2831

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6, 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arthur et al. (2,097,426) in view of Canfield (5,131,283).

Arthur et al. discloses a contact screw (54) for conductive cores comprising a shank (60) with screw threading (38) and a contact tip, wherein a section of the contact tip is provided for penetrating cable insulation, and an exterior surface of the tip includes threading (62) having a pitch which is greater than a pitch of the screw threading on the shank, wherein the screw is constructed and arranged to move axially in a connecting device due to the threading.

Arthur et al. does not disclose a section of the contact tip, where the threading is provided, having a coating of insulating material. Canfield discloses a contact screw (36) comprising a contact tip, wherein a section of the contact tip is provided with a coating of insulating material including threading (38) on an exterior surface. Canfield also discloses the coating being positioned between the shank of the screw and the shoulder of the tip end. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the screw of Arthur et al. by providing a coating of insulating material on a section of the contact tip as taught by Canfield, then providing the threading 62 which has a pitch greater than the pitch of the threading

on the shank on the exterior surface of the coating to predetermine the penetrating depth of the screw into the cable core and to provide a seal between the core and screw.

The modified screw of Arthur et al. also discloses the threading on the exterior surface of the coating being a multiple helix or a double helix, the end of the contact tip being conically-shaped and the coating being positioned between the shank and a shoulder of the tip end.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 7 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a contact screw comprising all the features as recited in the claims and in combination with the contact tip and the coating being configured to taper toward the end of the screw (re claim 7) and the threading on the exterior surface of the coating being at least twice the pitch of the screw threading on the shank (re claim 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,588 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner

Changym

Art Unit 2831